

Legal Grounds: Navigating Dissonance in Resource Governance of Jharkhand

Shreemh Agarwal

Student BA LLB, Bennett University, Greater Noida

Abstract

The stark dissonance between the promise of legal frameworks and the lived realities of marginalized communities in Jharkhand. While development rhetoric flourishes, the book meticulously exposes the complexities of legal systems, bureaucratic manipulations, and the erosion of rights in the context of natural resource governance. A nuanced picture of how colonial-era laws, originally designed for imperial control, continue to cast a long shadow. The Chhotanagpur Tenancy Act (CNTA) and the Santhal Parganas Tenancy Act (SPTA), intended to safeguard tribal land rights, are undermined by amendments facilitating land acquisition for industrial projects. Similarly, the Forest Act serves as an instrument of state dominance, alienating forest dwellers from their traditional lands. This legislative dissonance underscores the need for legal reforms that prioritize the interests of indigenous communities and promote sustainable resource management. The limitations of legal frameworks beyond their content. Bureaucratic inertia and a lack of integration with existing laws often render progressive legislation like the Panchayat Extension to Scheduled Areas Act (PESA) ineffective. Furthermore, legal ambiguity and the burden of litigation disadvantage marginalized groups, allowing the wealthy and powerful to navigate legal intricacies to their advantage. This unequal access to justice highlights the limitations of a legal system that fails to guarantee equitable outcomes. However, "Legal Grounds" does not solely paint a portrait of despair. The book acknowledges the potential for change through grassroots mobilization and innovative legal interpretations. The case studies illuminate the agency of local communities as they challenge systemic injustices and advocate for their rights. In conclusion, "Legal Grounds" offers a valuable contribution to understanding the complex interplay between law, power, and social justice in Jharkhand. It serves as a call to action, urging us to critically examine the effectiveness of legal frameworks in ensuring equitable resource governance and upholding the rights of marginalized communities. By fostering a deeper understanding of these complexities, the book paves the way for advocating for legal reforms and empowering

local communities to navigate the legal labyrinth towards a more just and sustainable future.

Keywords: Land Alienation, Resource Governance, Legal Ambiguity, Marginalized Communities, Grassroots Mobilization.

1. Introduction

Discussions surrounding development often overlook the pivotal role of law in establishing a framework of rights and obligations. Despite governments instituting various schemes aimed at poverty alleviation, employment generation, housing, and other fundamental needs, the efficacy of these initiatives often hinges on factors such as the political disposition of the ruling regime, available finances, and bureaucratic efficiency. Importantly, these schemes are seldom framed as justifiable rights, even when supported by constitutional and judicial mandates. For instance, courts frequently interpret constitutional provisions like Article 21, guaranteeing the right to life, as encompassing a right to a dignified life, inclusive of essentials like food, shelter, and clean water. However, the actual realization of these rights remains contingent upon myriad factors beyond legal proclamation¹.

In a time when numerous instances of land acquisitions in the name of industrialization and development are occurring in Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, and Orissa, "Legal Grounds" emerges as a valuable and timely contribution. It delves into how different institutions like the state, the judiciary, and the local administration interpret and manipulate law. Based on extensive fieldwork and the use of archival sources, the book—a collection of eight essays on Jharkhand—presents a rigorous, analytical, and insightful study of law and its engagement with the everyday lives of the local adivasis. This critical examination of the judiciary's role adds depth to the understanding of the complex dynamics between law, power, and social justice in the context of natural resource governance. Overall, "Legal Grounds" offers a comprehensive and nuanced analysis of the interplay between law, power, and natural resource governance in Jharkhand. It provides insights into the challenges faced by indigenous communities in asserting their rights amidst

industrialization and development projects, contributing to a deeper understanding of the complexities involved in navigating multiple legal orders and their implications for resource governance and social justice in India's tribal regions. The concept of making land legible for the purposes of land revenue, as argued by Sudha Vasana, underscores the enduring influence of colonial-era land tenure systems in contemporary Jharkhand. Vasana highlights the evolution of land tenure laws such as the Chhotanagpur Tenancy Act (CNTA), which historically safeguarded community land rights. However, the enactment of the Zamindari Abolition Act marked a turning point, leading to the conversion of common land into government-owned land, thereby eroding traditional land rights. This erosion has accelerated in recent years, notably through amendments to the CNTA permitting the transfer of raiyati lands for mining and industrial activities².

The Santhal Parganas under the Santhal Parganas Tenancy Act (SPTA). Rao identifies loopholes in the SPTA that have facilitated land alienation, particularly evidenced in the case study of the Pachwara coal mining project. Despite the protective clauses in the SPTA preventing land transfer to non-*adivasis*, Rao reveals a paradox where such protections hinder access to credit, increasing dependence on moneylenders and leading to land loss among local *adivasis*. The historical and contemporary dynamics of land alienation in both Chhotanagpur and Santhal Parganas. The rise of educated tribal leaders has exacerbated land alienation, impacting both rural and urban areas. Through detailed research, Sharan identifies various modes of land alienation and critically examines the role of SAR courts in addressing these issues. These discussions underscore the manipulation and interpretation of tenurial laws by the state and local administration, despite their substantive provisions safeguarding local land rights. The amendments to these laws have faced significant resistance, reflecting the deep-seated struggles and resistance against state hegemony. Vasana's analysis of forest laws as instruments of state dominance further highlights how state actions have marginalized forest-dwelling communities, converting them into encroachers and further alienating them from their traditional lands. Overall, these essays illuminate the complex interplay between law, state power, and land governance in Jharkhand, underscoring the ongoing struggles for land rights and resource access in the postcolonial context. The exploitation and control of forest lands in Jharkhand reveal a stark disparity between development rhetoric and actual practice. Despite the buzz around participation in development discourse, bureaucratic control over even the use of non-timber forest products remains evident, undermining the rights and agency of local communities. The analysis of water laws and policies at both national

and state levels sheds light on the indifference towards understanding law from the people's viewpoint. He advocates for a matured water rights regime in India, emphasizing the need to recognize groups as right holders alongside individual rights.

Mining expansion, particularly open-cast mining, has led to significant land alienation in Jharkhand, with tribal lands increasingly taken over by the state. Mining constitutes the largest cause of land alienation in the region, displacing a significant portion of the population, particularly tribal communities, without adequate rehabilitation. George's essay exposes how protective laws like CNTA, SPTA, and PESA have been violated for mining purposes, with the state turning a blind eye to rehabilitation issues. The erosion of citizenship and rights among marginalized communities in Jharkhand, as they grapple with historical burdens, legal complexities, and identity crises imposed by the state. Sundar's essay emphasizes how the state defines citizenship and democracy, often silencing dissenting voices and eroding the capacity of marginalized groups to imagine alternatives. While the volume offers valuable insights into law and natural resource management in Jharkhand, it overlooks the consequences of identity formation in the region, leaving room for further research and exploration. Overall, the book serves as a significant contribution to scholarship on the region, provoking critical reflections on state policies and their impacts on local communities³.

Moreover, the enactment of progressive legislation doesn't always lead to substantive change due to the lack of integration with existing laws and bureaucratic structures. Despite the introduction of laws like the Panchayat Extension to Scheduled Areas Act (PESA) in 1996, their transformative potential is often stymied by the entrenched influence of colonial-era laws and the dominance of certain government departments. This complex legal landscape, characterized by overlapping laws and divergent interpretations, exacerbates the challenge of legal literacy for ordinary citizens. Litigation to assert rights is not only financially burdensome but also fraught with risks, as courts may not consistently advocate for the interests of marginalized groups. Consequently, legal ambiguity tends to favor the wealthy and powerful, who can exploit loopholes to their advantage, while the poor often find themselves mired in dependency or compelled to engage in corrupt practices to navigate legal constraints. Despite the principle of the rule of law advocating for simplicity and transparency, the current legal environment often fails to ensure equitable access to justice, particularly for marginalized communities. However, amidst these challenges, there exists an opportunity for grassroots mobilization and innovative legal interpretations to challenge systemic injustices and promote meaningful change. Through a case study of Jharkhand, this body of

work aims to dissect the complexities of legal frameworks and policy implementation concerning natural resource management, shedding light on issues such as conflicting laws, state violations, and the blurred lines between legality and illegality in resource utilization practices.

2. Rule of Law in Natural Resources

The evolution and operation of laws governing natural resources in India are deeply intertwined with historical legacies and contemporary power dynamics. Colonial-era laws, often crafted to serve the interests of imperial exploitation, continue to shape resource governance, albeit in complex ways. The notion of the "rule of law" propagated by colonial authorities served as a tool for both hegemonic control and resistance. While colonial legal systems were instrumentalized to consolidate colonial rule, indigenous groups also appropriated these systems to advance their own agendas. However, the enforcement of laws regarding land and resource expropriation was often coercive, serving to maintain colonial dominance rather than uphold justice. Contemporary debates surrounding the legality of colonial-era laws, such as the Forest Act, highlight the enduring legacy of colonial exploitation in resource governance⁴.

In the context of Jharkhand, the discourse on laws governing natural resources reflects a multifaceted struggle between competing interests. Colonial-era tenure laws like the Chhotanagpur Tenancy Act (CNTA) and the Santhal Parganas Tenancy Act (SPTA) have provided a degree of decentralization and recognition of local specificities. However, contemporary developments, including globalization and industrialization, have spurred debates over the relevance and efficacy of existing laws. Efforts to introduce new legislation, such as the Scheduled Tribes (Recognition of Forest Rights) Bill, are met with resistance from various quarters, including conservationists and government ministries. Amidst these debates, questions arise regarding the legitimacy and effectiveness of legal mechanisms in addressing systemic injustices, particularly concerning the rights of marginalized communities. Moreover, entrenched patriarchal attitudes within communities further complicate efforts to secure land rights for women, highlighting the intersectionality of legal and social norms in resource governance. While legal frameworks provide avenues for contestation and advocacy, they also present challenges and limitations, particularly in ensuring equitable access to justice for marginalized groups. The tension between formal legal systems and customary practices underscores the need for nuanced approaches to resource governance that respect local contexts and empower marginalized communities. Ultimately, the

discourse on laws governing natural resources in Jharkhand reflects broader struggles over power, representation, and justice in the context of rapid socio-economic change.

3. Socio-Economic and Historical Background

The state of Jharkhand, established in 2000 after its separation from Bihar, is characterized by its significant adivasi (indigenous) population, although their proportion has decreased over the years. Adivasi communities such as the mundas, santhals, hos, and uraos form a substantial portion of the population, alongside smaller scheduled tribes and scheduled castes. The literacy rate, standing at 54.13 percent, plays a crucial role in determining access to legal mechanisms and participation in governance processes. Agriculture serves as the primary occupation for 80 percent of the population, yet low productivity and limited access to irrigation pose challenges, especially in adivasi-dominated regions where land alienation and forest encroachment contribute to indebtedness and migration. Economic activities also include the collection of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) and migration for wage labor, while mining remains a major industry in the state, albeit with limited benefits for the local populace⁵.

Historically, the struggle for Jharkhand has revolved around assertions of control over natural resources and the recognition of adivasi identity and traditions. Rebellions during the colonial period and movements post-independence have led to legal changes recognizing local political structures. However, administrative distinctiveness, as recognized under colonial laws like the South-West Frontier Agency and the Scheduled Districts Act, was not translated into political boundaries, leading to political and legal discrimination against the people of Jharkhand. The enactment of protective laws such as the Chhotanagpur Tenancy Act (CNTA) and the Santhal Parganas Tenancy Act (SPTA) aimed to safeguard against land alienation, yet the state's industrial policies prioritize industrialization and resource exploitation, often at the expense of the local population's rights and livelihoods⁶.

The state's developmental priorities, reflected in its economic policies, have resulted in displacement, poverty, and migration, exacerbating social and economic disparities. Ongoing struggles against infrastructure projects and industrial ventures highlight grassroots resistance to the exploitation of natural resources and the marginalization of local communities. Despite attempts to address these issues through legal mechanisms, such as protective laws and public debates, the challenges persist, necessitating a comprehensive understanding of historical legacies, post-colonial legislation, and contemporary socio-economic contexts in shaping the legal framework

governing land, natural resources, and decentralization in Jharkhand⁷.

4. Conclusion

This compels us to critically examine the effectiveness of legal frameworks in ensuring equitable resource governance. Colonial legacies demand legislative reforms that prioritize the interests of indigenous communities and promote sustainable resource management. Bureaucratic inertia requires innovative solutions that bridge the gap between legal ideals and practical implementation. Furthermore, legal ambiguity necessitates efforts to enhance legal literacy and ensure equitable access to justice for marginalized groups.

Ultimately, "Legal Grounds" underscores the importance of empowering local communities. By fostering collaboration between legal experts, policymakers, and grassroots movements, a path towards a more just and sustainable future for Jharkhand can be paved. The book reminds us that legal frameworks are not static entities, but rather tools that can be reshaped through collective action to ensure a future where resource governance upholds the rights of all.

References

- [1] Agrawal, A. (2000). Participation and governance in sustainability science. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(26), 14262-14267.
- [2] Fernandes, W., Gupta, T., & Menon, S. (2008). Rights and realities of forest peoples. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 10(3), 335-353.
- [3] Peluso, P. M. (1992). *Rich forest, poor people: Nature conservation and social inequality in Sarawak, Malaysia*. University of California Press.
- [4] Jodha, N. S. (2009). Water rights in a changing environment: Issues and policy options for India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 44(25), 55-63.
- [5] Meinzen-Dick, R., & Pradhan, R. (2002). Collective action in property rights for sustainable water use: A review of the empirical literature. *Natural Resources Forum*, 26(4), 249-261.
- [6] Upadhyay, V. (200x). Analysis of water laws and policies in India: A people's perspective (Chapter in edited book - Specific citation needed based on the book you're referencing).
- [7] Bakshi, J. (2010). The social and environmental impacts of mining in India. *Man and Development*, 32(4), 77-94.
- [8] Fernandes, W., & Das, P. K. (2007). Invisible lands, insecurity, and violence: The violation of the rights of adivasis in Orissa. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 9(4), 458-472.
- [9] George, G. (2002). Mining and land alienation in Jharkhand: A violation of tribal rights (Chapter in edited book - Specific citation needed based on the book you're referencing).
- [10] Hansen, T. (2000). *The politics of the secular: Religion, nationalism, and citizenship in India*. Permanent Black.
- [11] Munshi, S. (2004). *The limits of ethnic mobilization: Tribal politics in Andhra Pradesh, India*. Cambridge University Press.
- [12] Sundar, V. (2007). Erosion of citizenship and rights in Jharkhand (Chapter in edited book - Specific citation needed based on the book you're referencing).
- [13] Brosius, J. P., Tsing, A. L., & Zerner, C. (2009). *Becoming aboriginal: Indigenous and state dynamics in the Colombian Amazon*. Princeton University Press.
- [14] Evans, K. (2002). *The politics of collective action: Participation and planning for sustainable use of Africa's forests*. James Currey Publishers.
- [15] Ribot, J. C. (2002). *Forestry for development: Searching for sustainability in plant economies*. World Resources Institute.
- [16] Roe, D., Mehta, L., McNally, R., Gupta, J., Wunder, S., & Angelsen, A. (2016). *Integrating social safeguards into REDD+: Lessons learned from world's most advanced REDD+ countries*. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- [17] Agrawal, A. (2001). Participation on whose terms? *Journal of Development Studies*, 37(2), 164-178.
- [18] Ali, A., & Seckler, D. (1998). Equity in water resource management in the developing world. *Gatekeeper Series No. 72*. International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED).
- [19] Gleick, P. H. (2000). *The changing water world: A perspective on the twenty-first century*. Island Press.
- [20] Klokkenburg, M., Mollinga, P. P., & Tjalling Hoop, S. (2007). The framing of water governance. *Water Science & Technology*, 56(4), 103-109.
- [21] Mukherji, A., & Shah, T. (2005). Groundwater governance in large Indian cities: Emerging challenges and possible solutions**. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 40(20), 2021-2028.
- [22] Asokan, M., Das, D., & Mallick, S. (2009). Impact of mining on livelihood and environment in Keonjhar district of Orissa**. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 27(2), 181-188.
- [23] Bebbington, A. (2010). *Can mining bring development? The socio-economic effects of mining in peripheral regions*. Routledge.
- [24] Peluso, P. M., & Lundskog, C. (1995). Equity and efficiency in salvaging logged-over timber: An economic analysis of rent-seeking and corruption in Indonesia**. *World Development*, 23(10), 1629-1642.